

6516206501.txt

It recently came to my attention that the National Association of Broadcasters (NAB) has issued a petition trying to ban satellite radios from offering localized service. I feel that this is simply an attempt at a market to keep things the way they were by using the FCC as a pawn, instead of they, the broadcasters, evolving to actually compete against the satellite radio's offering. I first purchased XM radio because I have a job where I am in the car most of the day, and local radio is essentially unlistenable for all day. You end up hearing the same songs so often it makes you sick. Satellite radio on the other hand offers a broad range of programming allowing me to discover new artists that I never knew I liked, like Frank Sinatra, which you would never hear from a local broadcaster. The NAB argues that satellite radio listeners would not hear emergency alerts. Unfortunately, listeners of satellite radio would not hear the alerts even without local programming. So this argument is not even a valid one for limiting the localization of satellite radio. The only drawback that I can see for localization is the one that would occur in the local broadcasters pocketbooks. In my opinion this is a prime example of a capitalist society; if there are people who want to spend their money to get satellite radio, then they should be able to do so. Without the intrusion of the NAB telling the users to what they can and can not listen. So I implore the FCC to oppose 04-160 and also to advise congress to veto HR 4026.